"Amend RAO 11-2 and 11-10 to clarify who may submit an Overture"

Be it resolved that *RAO* 11-2 and 11-10 be amended as follows. (Strike-through for deletions, underlining for new wording.)

11-2. Communications from individuals shall not be received by the General Assembly, unless they originate with persons who have no other access to the Assembly. If the Assembly desires to receive and consider any such communications, other than as information, the Stated Clerk shall recommend reference to the proper Assembly committee. Letters, telegrams, emails., or telephone calls from communicants or congregations of the Presbyterian Church in America are not proper communications, and are not to be received by the Assembly.

11-10. No overture will be considered by the General Assembly until it first has been presented to a presbytery. If approved by the presbytery, it will come before the Assembly as the overture of that court. An overture requested by an individual communicant a ruling elder commissioner to presbytery, a teaching elder, or a session, but rejected by the presbytery, may be presented to the Assembly, provided the fact that it was rejected by the presbytery is clearly stated with the overture: the overture includes as an attachment a copy of the minutes of the meeting at which presbytery rejected the overture or correspondence from presbytery's Stated Clerk confirming presbytery's rejection of the overture. Such an overture must be the same overture as that presented to and rejected by the presbytery.

So that *RAO* 11-2 and 11-10 as amended, would read:

11-2. Communications from individuals shall not be received by the General Assembly, unless they originate with persons who have no other access to the Assembly. If the Assembly desires to receive and consider any such communications, other than as information, the Stated Clerk shall recommend reference to the proper Assembly committee. Letters, telegrams, emails, or telephone calls from communicants or congregations of the Presbyterian Church in America are not proper communications, and are not to be received by the Assembly.

11-10. No overture will be considered by the General Assembly until it first has been presented to a presbytery. If approved by the presbytery, it will come before the Assembly as the overture of that court. An overture requested by a ruling elder commissioner to Presbytery, a teaching elder, or a session, but rejected by the presbytery, may be presented to the Assembly, provided the overture includes as an attachment a copy of the minutes of the meeting at which presbytery rejected the overture or correspondence from presbytery's Stated Clerk confirming presbytery's rejection of the overture. Such an overture must be the same overture as that presented to and rejected by the presbytery.

RATIONALE:

The current provision in RAO 11-10 is confusing in that it implies that an individual communicant member of a church may send an overture to the General Assembly. Communications from individuals outside the PCA are to be dealt with in accordance with RAO 11-2. Individual PCA

members have access to the General Assembly indirectly through their Sessions and Presbyteries. Overtures ordinarily are the request of a **Presbytery** for action by the General Assembly (RAO 11-4, emphasis added).

An individual member does not have the ability to move an overture for consideration by a Presbytery, because unless that individual is a ruling elder commissioner to a Presbytery meeting, he is not a member of the body and therefore does not have the privilege of making motions. Such a member *could* have another *who is a member or a Session* make such a motion, but if the motion for an overture is rejected by Presbytery, that other member or Session would need to present the rejected overture to the General Assembly.

The revised language clarifies what is permissible in accordance with the RAO and Robert's Rules.